

Placebos, psychotherapy and product perception – all in the mind?



'It is a misjudgement to underestimate the power of the imagination as a therapeutic effect.'

Raymond C. Rowe, AstraZeneca, UK
These are the personal opinions of the author and do not necessarily represent those of AstraZeneca

It is a sobering fact that in clinical trials the control patients given a placebo will often show a significant response, sometimes as much as a 30% improvement. There is no consistent pattern as to who is most likely to respond and the effect probably depends mainly on the circumstances of the trial and any accompanying positive verbal support. However, in all cases, faith and optimism on the part of the patient is essential.

Couéism

A pharmacist who exploited this to the full and became a household name in the early 20th century was Emile Coué. Born in 1857 in Troyes, France, Coué was a brilliant student, particularly in chemistry. However, unable to follow his studies because of financial problems, Coué took up pharmacy, gaining his diploma from Paris in 1882. Returning to Troyes, Coué noticed that, when prescribed patent medicines he knew to be worthless, his patients' conditions improved, and if he was optimistic about the treatment, the response was even better. In 1901, Coué registered with the Faculty of Medicine in Nancy, studying under Liebeault and Bernheim, two leading experts of hypnotism. It was here that Coué became convinced of the power of the imagination and became a psychotherapist. In 1910 he set up a free clinic in Nancy promoting the technique of auto-suggestion characterized by the repetition, both morning and evening, of the words 'everyday in every way, I am becoming better and better'. Books on the method followed, as did highly successful tours of Europe and the USA. Despite great opposition from the medical

establishment, the method became world famous as Couéism – the new way; even a limerick was composed¹:

There was an old Doctor called Coué,
Who said to his patients, ' J'ai voué,
To cure all your ills
Without any pills –
You just think yourself better – that's the new way.'

Coué died from pneumonia in 1926 and, despite a negative report from the French Academy of Medicine on the grounds that 'the therapeutic effects of auto-suggestion often deterred too confident patients from resorting to scientific and rational treatment', Couéism still continues to be practised today and new editions of Coué's books are printed regularly.

It has been argued, by the author and journalist Brian Inglis², that Coué's basic premise was correct. His idea was that the patient's imagination was stimulated by the prospect of the wonderful effects promised by the medicine and it was a jolt to the imagination, not the medicine or the hypnotist, that brought about the cure. The stimulus to the imagination was the part that mattered, not the 'conscious' will. An often-quoted analogy is that people cannot will their saliva to flow but if they conjure up a mental picture of a delicious meal then their mouths will begin to water. It is interesting to note that this premise is used extensively in many areas of alternative medicine.

Product development

The question arises as to how we, as scientists in modern drug discovery and development, should react to this. Because of our backgrounds and education, I would have no hesitation in believing that the vast majority would hold the view that disease and medicine are mechanistic in principle and allopathic in practice, and would support the stance taken by the French Academy of Medicine. However, it would be a misjudgement to underestimate the power of the imagination as a therapeutic force and not exploit it. In fact, we drug development researchers can and do exploit it in our formulation of the dosage form, its colour, taste and appearance. It is well known, for instance, that the choice of colour of a tablet or capsule can enhance the pharmacological effect of the preparation and this

effect has been exploited in anxiolytic, antidepressant, antihypertensive and analgesic products. Taste and appearance are important organoleptic properties in exploiting the patients' beneficial perception of the product.

Some would argue that even the brand name is important in this respect. A good example of this is in the creation of the brand name for ondansetron, an antiemetic intended to help patients tolerate chemotherapy. The name Zofran was chosen, a word with a soft sound to create a soft and reassuring image³. David Wood, chairman of David Wood Associates, a US company specializing in global brand consulting, believes that in the increasingly competitive marketplace in which pharmaceutical companies now have to work anything that can be done to protect a product by increasing patient perception should be exploited. He has suggested⁴ that: 'By supporting a differential brand name with positioning which is singular, product features such as shape, size and colour which are unlike the competition, and advertising and promotion which is inventive, original and complementary, a company will have a combination of features which assist both the product itself and its target audience in both identification and recall.' He has also pointed out that the industry ignores, at its peril, the

golden rule of marketing: 'that perception precedes reality, that what the product is thought to be like carries more weight initially than what the product is really like.'

He even argues that these concepts are equally applicable whether or not the product has patent protected exclusivity! All in the mind or a sensible strategy?

References

- 1 Parrot, E.O. (ed.) (1983) *The Penguin Book of Limericks*, Penguin Books
- 2 Inglis, B. (1977) Self diagnosis: self medication methods ancient and modern. *Royal Soc. Health J.* 97, 156–158
- 3 Thompson, F. (2001) Where do generic and brand names come from? *Pharm. J.* 267, 223–224
- 4 Wood, D. (1996) Branding – it's all a question of perception. *Script Magazine* April, 10–11

Raymond C. Rowe

Pharmaceutical and Analytical R&D

AstraZeneca

Alderley Park

Macclesfield

Cheshire

UK SK10 2NA

tel: +44 1625 513112

fax: +44 1625 512381

e-mail: ray.rowe@astrazeneca.com

Contributions to *Drug Discovery Today*

Drug Discovery Today publishes topical information on all aspects of drug discovery – molecular targets, lead identification, lead optimization and associated technologies, drug delivery, gene therapy, vaccine development and clinical trials – together with overviews of the current status of compound classes and approaches in specific therapeutic areas or disease states. Areas of pharmaceutical development that relate to the potential and viability of drug candidates are also included, as are those relating to the strategic, organizational and logistic issues underlying pharmaceutical R&D.

Authors should aim for topicality rather than comprehensive coverage. Ultimately, articles should improve the reader's understanding of the field addressed and should therefore assist in the increasingly important decision-making processes for which drug discovery and development scientists are responsible.

Please note that publication of Review articles is subject to satisfactory expert peer and editorial review. The publication of Update and Editorial articles is subject to satisfactory editorial review. In addition, personal perspectives published in *Drug Discovery Today* do not represent the view of the journal or its editorial staff

If you would like to contribute to the Reviews, Monitor or Editorial sections of *Drug Discovery Today* in the future, please submit your proposals to: Dr Debbie Tranter, Editor (e-mail: deborah.tranter@drugdiscoverytoday.com). If you would like to contribute to the Update section, please submit your proposals to: Dr Rebecca Lawrence, News & Features Editor (e-mail: rebecca.lawrence@drugdiscoverytoday.com).